

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,184		11/09/2001	Yuji Furuta	P/3281-10	6062
32172	7590	10/19/2006		EXAM	INER
		APIRO LLP	REKSTAD, ERICK J		
	1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2621	
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/053,184	FURUTA, YUJI
Examiner	Art Unit

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ______months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔀 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2-8 and 11-14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.9.10 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

GIMS PHILIPPE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's arguments related to claim 15, the claim stats "storing a data length of said video data and said non-video data". Though the Applicant argues that the claim is meant to state that the control process stores the data length of the video data and stores the non-video data, this feature is not clearly claimed. It is the responsibility of the Examiner to interpret the claims broadly. The Examiner has interpreted the claim to mean storing the data length of the video data and non-video data. As noted in the Final Rejection, such a storing is not supported by the specification. The claim should be amendment to clarify what is being stored. Such an amendment would be "storing a data length of said video data and storing said non-video data".

In regards to the Applicant's arguments related to the rejection of claims 1 and 9 as being anticipated by Eifrig, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. In order to delay the audio while the video is being processed and then recombining the audio with the processed video a means must be provided which takes the audio being delayed and providing it to the REMUX. This means is equivalent to the secondary extracting means as required by the claim.

In regards to the Applicant's arguments related to the rejection of claims 1 and 9 as being unpatentable over Adolf and Haskell, the Applicant states that the Examiner has not provided a reason for combining the references. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in the Final Rejection, Adolf teaches the video processing means but does not provide the details of the Audio or System processors (SDP and ADP) (Fig. 1). Therefore, Adolf is silent on the features of the audio processor and a secondary reference is required to provide such an example of an audio processor. Haskell teaches a similar processing means where the video, audio and system data are divided for processing individually (Fig. 2). As shown by Haskell, the audio processing path contains a buffer (205). Therefore, in order to operate the processing means of Adolf (Fig. 1) an Audio and System processors must be performed which would obviously be of similar design to that of the processors of Haskell.

2